# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

#### FORM 10-Q

[ X ] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999

OR

[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 1-12997

MAXIMUS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

\_\_\_\_\_

VIRGINIA
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

54-1000588 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

MCLEAN, VIRGINIA (Address of principal executive offices)

1356 BEVERLY ROAD

22101 (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (703) 734-4200

-----

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or  $15\,(d)$  of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes /x/

No / /

CLASS

OUTSTANDING AT FEBRUARY 10, 2000

Common Shares, No Par Value

21,025,484

MAXIMUS, INC.

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999

TNDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 1999 (unaudited) and September 30, 1999  $\,$ 

Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended December 31, 1999 and 1998 (unaudited)

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended December 31, 1999 and 1998 (unaudited)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

Signatures

Exhibit Index

# MAXIMUS, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

	SEPTEMB	9	DECEMBER	31,
<s></s>	<c></c>		(UNAUDIT	ED)
ASSETS				
Current assets:  Cash and cash equivalents  Marketable securities  Accounts receivable, net		61,647 37,235 75,865	33 80	,348 ,855 ,847
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings  Prepaid expenses and other current assets  Deferred income taxes		16,150 2,711 2,997	2	,901 ,682 ,997
Total current assets		196,605	196	,630
Property and equipment at cost:  Land  Building and improvements  Office furniture and equipment  Leasehold improvements		2,643 7,921 10,429 253	7 11	,643 ,921 ,360 188
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization		21,246 (6,524)	22	,112 ,019)
Total property and equipment, net		14,722	15	,093
Deferred income taxes		363		363
Intangible assets		8,254	12	,580
Other assets		3,092	2	,688 
Total assets		223,036		,354 =====
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable		10,265 16,119 16,942 2,266 541	11 16	,688 ,169 ,686 ,919 464
Total current liabilities	•	46,133 578 846	41	,926 510 954
Total liabilities		47,557	43	<b>,</b> 390
Shareholders' equity:  Common stock, no par value; 30,000,000 shares authorized; 20,986,322 and 21,008,987 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 1999 and December 31, 1999, at stated amount Accumulated other comprehensive loss Retained earnings		130,518 (280) 45,241	52	,093 (30) ,901
Total shareholders' equity		175,479	183	,964
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	. \$	223,036		,354

See notes to financial statements.

# MAXIMUS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA) (UNAUDITED)

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

	THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	1998	1999
<s> Revenues</s>	<c> \$72,346</c>	<c> \$89,683</c>
Cost of revenues	52 <b>,</b> 233	62 <b>,</b> 085
Gross profit	20,113	27 <b>,</b> 598
Selling, general and administrative expenses	11,261	15,426
Amortization of goodwill and other acquisition related intangibles	-	274
Income from operations	8 <b>,</b> 852	11,898
Interest and other income	394	1,050
Income before income taxes	9,246	12,948
Provision for income taxes	3,653	•
Net income	\$ 5,593 ======	\$ 7,660 ======
Earnings per share:		
Basic	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.36 ======
Diluted	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.36
Shares used in computing earnings per share:		
Basic	19 <b>,</b> 276	21,001
Diluted	19,746 =====	21,323

</TABLE>

See notes to financial statements.

4

MAXIMUS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
(UNAUDITED)

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

	THREE MONTHS	
	ENDED DECEM	IBER 31,
	1998	1999
<\$>	<c></c>	<c></c>
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 5,593	\$ 7,660
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	608	1,001
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable, net	(11,051)	(4,380)
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	(655)	(751)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(130)	30
Other assets	29	404
Accounts payable	(1,945)	(1,591)

Accrued compensation and benefits	(3,349) 1,084 2,355 5	(5,367) (256) 2,654 17
Net cash used in operating activities	(7,456)	(579)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:  Acquisition of businesses	(707) (260) (53,397)	(4,884) (973) 3,631
Net cash used in investing activities	(54,364)	(2,226)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:  Proceeds from secondary offering, net of expenses	61,024 107 200	- 575 (69)
- Net cash provided by financing activities	61,331	506 
- Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(489)	(2,299)
Cash flow adjustment for change in accounting period of CSI	31	-
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	19,403	61,647
- Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$18,945 ======	\$59 <b>,</b> 348

</TABLE>

See notes to financial statements.

5

# MAXIMUS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE THREE MONTH PERIODS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999 AND 1998
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

# 1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normally recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The results of operations for the three-month period ended December 31, 1999 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full fiscal year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements as of September 30, 1999 and 1998 and for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 1999, included in the company's annual report on Form 10-K, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

# 2. SECONDARY PUBLIC OFFERING

The Company completed a secondary public offering (the "secondary offering") of common stock during December 1998. Of the 4,200,000 shares of common stock sold in the secondary offering, 2,000,000 shares were sold by MAXIMUS, Inc. generating \$61,024 in proceeds to the Company, net of offering expenses, and 2,200,000 shares were sold by selling shareholders.

# 3. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

On February 26, 1999, the Company issued 700,210 shares of its common stock in exchange for all of the outstanding common stock of Control Software, Inc. ("CSI"). This combination was accounted for as a pooling of interests, and the Company's financial statements, including earnings per share, have been restated for all periods presented to include the financial position and results of operations of CSI.

On March 31, 1999, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Norman Roberts & Associates, Inc. for \$1,930. In conjunction with the purchase, the Company recorded intangible assets of \$1,880.

On June 1, 1999, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Unison Consulting Group, Inc. for 7,074. In conjunction with the purchase, the Company recorded intangible assets of 4,979.

On September 30, 1999, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Network Design Group, Inc. d/b/a The Center for Health Dispute Resolution ("CHDR") for \$2,070. In conjunction with the purchase, the Company recorded intangible assets of \$771. The purchase is subject to an upward adjustment of \$1,200 if CHDR secures the renewal of a certain contract.

On October 20, 1999, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Public Systems, Inc. for \$5,000. In conjunction with the purchase, the Company recorded intangible assets of \$4,735.

#### 4. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

On February 3, 1997, the Company was named as a third party defendant by Network Six, Inc. ("Network Six") in a legal action brought by the State of Hawaii against Network Six. Network Six alleged that the Company is liable to Network Six on various grounds. In October 1999, the Company paid Network Six \$50 thousand in full settlement of all claims. The settlement was made without admission of fault or liability on the part of the Company.

On November 28, 1997, an individual who was a former officer, director and shareholder of the Company filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts alleging that, at the time he resigned from the Company in 1996, thereby triggering the repurchase of his shares, the Company and certain of its officers and directors had failed to disclose to him material information relating to the potential value of the shares. He further alleges that the Company and its officers and directors violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and breached various fiduciary duties owed to him and claims damages in excess of \$10 million. The Company believes these claims are without merit and intends to defend the matter vigorously. Although there can be no assurance of a favorable outcome, the Company does not believe that this action will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations and has not accrued for any loss related to this action.

On May 12, 1998, the Company acquired DMG, which was subsequently merged into DMG-MAXIMUS, Inc. ("DMG-MAXIMUS"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of MAXIMUS. DMG-MAXIMUS is currently defending against a lawsuit arising out of consultation services provided to underwriters of revenue bonds issued by Superstition Mountains Community Facilities District No. 1 (the "District") in 1994. The bonds were issued to finance construction of a water waste treatment plant in Arizona. However, the District was unable to service the bonds and eventually declared bankruptcy. The District voluntarily came out of bankruptcy and is currently operating under a forbearance agreement with the sole purchaser of the bonds, Allstate Insurance Company ("Allstate"). A consolidated action arising out of these events is pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona against DMG-MAXIMUS and thirteen other named defendants. The parties making claims against DMG-MAXIMUS in the lawsuit, Allstate and the District, allege that DMG made false and misleading representations in the reports DMG prepared included among the exhibits to the bond offering memoranda. DMG's reports concerned certain financial projections made by the District regarding its ability to service the bonds. Allstate seeks as damages \$32.1 million, the principal amount of bonds it purchased together with accrued and unpaid interest; the District seeks actual and special damages, prejudgment interest and costs. DMG-MAXIMUS believes these claims are without merit and intends to defend against this action vigorously. Although there is no assurance of a favorable outcome, the Company does not believe that this action will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations and has not accrued for any loss related to these claims.

The Company also is involved in various other legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, these proceedings involve amounts that would not have a material effect on the

7

financial position or results of operations of the Company if such proceedings were resolved in an unfavorable manner to the Company.

#### 5. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

	Three Months Ended December 31,	
	1998	
<\$>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Numerator: Net income Denominator:	\$5 <b>,</b> 593	\$7 <b>,</b> 660
Denominator for basic earnings per share: Weighted average shares outstanding	19,276	21,001
Stock options	470	322
Denominator for dilutive earnings per share	19,746	21,323
Earnings per share:	======	======
Basic	\$0.29 ======	\$ 0.36
Diluted	\$0.28 =====	\$ 0.36 ======

Three Menths

#### </TABLE>

#### 6. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The following table provides certain financial information for each business segment:

<TABLE>

	Three Months Ended December 31,		
	1998	1999	
(0)			
<\$>	<c></c>	<c></c>	
Revenues:			
Government Operations	\$ 38,817	\$ 51 <b>,</b> 180	
Consulting	33,529	38,503	
Total	\$72,346	\$ 89,683	
	=======	======	
Income From Operations:			
Government Operations	\$ 2,566	\$4 <b>,</b> 961	
Consulting	6,286	6,937	
Total	\$ 8,852	\$ 11,898	
	=======		

#### </TABLE>

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

# OVERVIEW

The Company provides program management and consulting services primarily to government agencies in the

8

United States. Founded in 1975, the Company has been profitable every year since inception. The Company conducts its operations through two groups, the Government Operations Group and the Consulting Group. The Government Operations Group administers and manages government health and human services programs, including welfare-to-work and job readiness, child support enforcement, managed care enrollment and disability services. The Consulting Group provides consulting services to state, county and local legislatures and government agencies, including health and human services, law enforcement, parks and recreation, taxation, housing, motor vehicles, labor and education.

As an important part of the Company's growth strategy, it has completed combinations with the following firms: Spectrum Consulting Group, Inc. and Spectrum Consulting Services, Inc. (collectively, "Spectrum") in March 1998,

David M. Griffith & Associates, Ltd. ("DMG") in May 1998, Carrera Consulting Group ("Carrera") and Phoenix Planning & Evaluation, Ltd. ("Phoenix") in August 1998, Control Software, Inc. ("CSI") in February 1999, Norman Roberts & Associates, Inc. ("Roberts") in March 1999, Unison Consulting Group, Inc. ("Unison") in June 1999, Network Design Group, Inc. dba The Center for Health Dispute Resolution ("CHDR") in September 1999, and Public Systems, Inc. ("PSI") in October 1999. Spectrum, DMG, Carrera, Phoenix and CSI was each accounted for as a pooling of interests combination. Roberts, Unison, CHDR and PSI was each accounted for as a purchase. See "Business Combination." Prior year amounts have been restated to reflect the combinations with DMG and CSI. The Spectrum, Carrera and Phoenix combinations were accounted for as immaterial poolings of interests and, accordingly, the Company's previously issued financial statements were not restated to reflect these combinations.

The Company's revenues are generated from contracts with various payment arrangements, including: (i) costs incurred plus a fixed fee ("cost-plus"); (ii) fixed-price; (iii) performance-based criteria; and (iv) time and materials reimbursement (utilized primarily by the Consulting Group). For the fiscal year ended September 30, 1999, revenues from these contract types were approximately 25%, 37%, 19% and 19%, respectively, of total revenues. Traditionally, federal government contracts have been cost-plus and a majority of the contracts with state and local government agencies have been fixed-price and performance-based. Fixed price and performance-based contracts generally offer higher margins but typically involve more risk than cost-plus or time and materials reimbursement contracts because the Company is subject to the risk of potential cost overruns or inaccurate revenue estimates.

The Government Operations Group's contracts generally contain base periods of one or more years as well as one or more option periods that may cover more than half of the potential contract duration. As of September 30, 1999, the Company's average Government Operations contract duration was 2 3/4 years. The Company's Consulting Group contracts have performance periods of one month to in excess of two years.

The Company's most significant expense is cost of revenues, which consists primarily of project related employee salaries and benefits, subcontractors, computer equipment and travel expenses. The Company's ability to accurately predict personnel requirements, salaries and other costs as well as to effectively manage a project or achieve certain levels of performance can have a significant impact on the service costs related to the Company's fixed price and performance-based contracts. Service cost variability has little impact on cost-plus arrangements because allowable costs are reimbursed by the client. The profitability of the Consulting Group's contracts is largely dependent upon the utilization rates of its consultants and the success of its performance-based contracts.

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist of management, marketing and administration costs including salaries, benefits, travel, recruiting, continuing education and training, facilities costs, printing, reproduction, communications and equipment depreciation.

## BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

As part of its growth strategy, the Company expects to continue to pursue complementary business combinations to expand its geographic reach, expand the breadth and depth of its service offerings and enhance the Company's consultant base. In furtherance of this growth strategy, the Company combined with four consulting firms during fiscal 1999 and, one of which was accounted for as a pooling of interests, and one firm during the first quarter of FY 2000 accounted for as a purchase.

9

On February 26, 1999, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of CSI in exchange for 700,212 shares of common stock. CSI, based in Wayne, Pennsylvania, provides fleet management software and related services to public sector entities. At the time of the combination, CSI had 46 employees.

On March 31, 1999, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Roberts for \$1,930,000. Roberts, based in Los Angeles, California, provides executive search services for the public sector. In connection with the purchase, the Company recorded intangible assets of \$1,880,000. At the time of the combination, Roberts had 18 employees.

On June 1, 1999, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Unison for \$7,074,000. Unison, based in Chicago, Illinois, provides financial consulting for major government owned airports. In connection with the purchase, the Company recorded intangible assets of \$4,979,000. At the time of the combination, Unison had 39 employees.

On September 30, 1999, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of CHDR for \$2,070,000. CHDR, based in Rochester, New

York, is the sole national provider of external reviews for Medicare beneficiaries enrolled in HMOs. In connection with the purchase, the Company recorded intangible assets of \$771,000. The purchase is subject to an upward adjustment of \$1,200,000 if CHDR secures the renewal of a certain contract. At the time of the combination, CHDR had 35 employees.

On October 20, 1999 the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of PSI for \$5,000,000. PSI, based in Wilmington, Delaware, provides client-server and internet-enabled case management systems to government. In connection with the purchase, the Company recorded intangible assets of \$4,735,000. At the time of the combination, PSI had 26 employees.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

1.0

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, selected statements of income data as a percentage of revenues:

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

	THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	1998	1999
Revenues: <s></s>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Government Operations Group	53.6%	57.1%
Consulting Group	46.4	42.9
Total revenues	100.0	100.0
Gross Profit:		
Government Operations Group	17.2	21.8
Consulting Group	40.0	42.7
Total gross profit as a percent of revenue	27.8	30.8
Selling, general and administrative expenses		17.2
	15.6	
Amortization of goodwill and other		
acquisition related intangibles	0.0	0.3
		0.5
Income from operations	12.2	13.3
Interest and other income	0.6	1.1
Income before income taxes	12.8	14.4
Provision for income taxes	5.1	5.9
Net income	7.7%	8.5%
	=========	=========

</TABLE>

THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998

REVENUES. Total contract revenues increased 24.0% to \$89.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 1999 from \$72.3 million for the same period in 1998. Government Operations Group revenues increased 31.8% to \$51.2 million for the three months ended December 31, 1999 from \$38.8 million for the same period in 1998. This increase was due to \$1.3 million of revenue from CHDR, which was acquired on September 30, 1999 and an increase in the number of contracts in three of the four divisions in the Government Operations Group. Consulting Group revenues increased 14.8% to \$38.5 million for the three months ended December 31, 1999 from \$33.5 million for the same period in 1998. Approximately \$2.7 million of the \$5.0 million increase in the Consulting Group revenues were revenues from Roberts, Unison and PSI, which companies were acquired subsequent to the quarter ended December 31, 1998. The remainder of the increased revenues was the result of an increase in the number of contracts in the Consulting Group.

GROSS PROFIT. Total gross profit increased 37.2% to \$27.6 million for the three months ended December 31, 1999 from \$20.1 million for the same period in 1998. Government Operations Group gross profit increased 67.0% to \$11.2 million for the three months ended December 31, 1999 from \$6.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 1998. As a percentage of Government Operations Group revenues, Government Operations Group gross profit increased to 21.8% for the three months ended December 31, 1999 from 17.2% for the same period in 1998. The increase was due to improved margins in three of the four divisions of the Government Operations Group. The Consulting Group gross profit increased 22.4% to \$16.4 million for the three months ended

December 31, 1999 from \$13.4 million for the same period in 1998 due to the increased revenues and an increased gross profit percentage. As a percentage of Consulting Group revenues, Consulting Group gross profit increased to 42.7% for the three months ended December 31, 1999 from 40.0% for the same period in 1998, due primarily to improved margins within the CSI and PSI divisions of the Group.

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES. Total selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses increased 37.0% to \$15.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 1999 from \$11.3 million for the same period in 1998. The increase in SG&A costs was due to the increased size of the Company in terms of revenue growth and the increase in the number of employees to 3,493 at December 31, 1999 from 2,912 at December 31, 1998. As a percentage of revenues, SG&A expenses increased to 17.2% for the three months ended December 31, 1999 from 15.6% for the same period in 1998, primarily due to a significant increase in the size and capability of the Business Development unit and the Information Services unit, and the incurrence of expenses in connection with the integration of the merged companies into MAXIMUS.

AMORTIZATION OF GOODWILL AND OTHER ACQUISITION-RELATED INTANGIBLES. In the quarter ended December 31, 1999, the Company incurred \$0.3 million of amortization expense related to the \$12.4 million of goodwill and other acquisition-related intangible assets it recorded in connection with the acquisitions of Roberts, Unison, CHDR and PSI.

INTEREST AND OTHER INCOME. The increase in interest and other income to \$1.0 million for the three months ended December 31, 1999 as compared to \$0.4 million for the same period in 1998 was due to an increase in the average invested funds. The increase in invested funds was due largely to the receipt of proceeds of \$61.0 million from the secondary public stock offering completed in December 1998.

PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES. The provision for income tax for the three months ended December 31, 1999 was 40.8% of income before income taxes as compared to 39.5% for the three months ended December 31, 1998. The difference in percentages was due to differences in the amounts of certain expense items which are not deductible for tax purposes.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

For the three months ended December 31, 1999, cash used in operations was \$0.6 million as compared to cash used in operations of \$7.5 million for the three months ended December 31, 1998. There were two principal reasons for the improvement in cash used in operations. First, net income increased to \$7.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 1999 from \$5.6 million for the three months ended December 31, 1998. Second, the increase in accounts receivable, billed and unbilled, used \$4.4 million of cash during the three months ended December 31, 1999, whereas the increase in receivables used \$11.1 million of cash during the three months ended December 31, 1998. Through effective collection efforts, receivables have been reduced to 99 days of sales outstanding at December 31, 1999 from 117 days of sales at December 31, 1998. The Company accrues for incentive compensation throughout the fiscal year and makes payments to employees in October. In October 1999, those payments totaled \$7.0 million.

For the three months ended December 31, 1999, cash used in investing activities was \$2.3 million as compared to \$54.4 million for the three months ended December 30, 1998. During the three months ended December 31, 1999, the Company generated cash from sales of marketable securities totaling \$3.6 million, and used cash totaling \$5.9 million in the purchase of PSI and property and equipment. Cash used in investing activities for the three months ended December 31, 1998 primarily consisted of the purchase of marketable securities totaling \$53.4 million with the proceeds from the secondary offering, which occurred in December 1998.

Cash provided by financing activities during the three months ended December 31, 1999 was \$0.6 million, which consisted primarily of sales of stock to employees through the Company's employee stock purchase plan and stock option plan. During the three months ended December 31, 1998, cash provided by financing activities consisted primarily of the proceeds of \$61.0 million from the secondary stock offering.

12

Management believes that the Company will have sufficient resources to meet its cash needs over the next 12 months, which may include start-up costs associated with new contract awards, obtaining additional office space, establishing new offices, investment in upgraded systems infrastructure or acquisitions of other businesses and technologies. Cash requirements beyond the next 12 months will depend on the Company's profitability, its ability to manage working capital requirements, its rate of growth, the amounts spent on business acquisitions, if any, and the leasing of new office space, if any.

The Company has been aware of the issues that computer, telecommunication and other infrastructure systems may have faced as the millennium ("Year 2000") arrived. The Company has audited its internal software, hardware, and telephone systems and those of its divisions and acquired companies for Year 2000 compliance and has completed all remediation actions deemed necessary. In addition, the Company has inquired of vendors, governmental agencies and entities with which it has contracted about Year 2000 related problems and system failures that these parties have experienced and based upon their responses, management believes that the Company will be able to continue to perform on its contracts with these parties without experiencing material negative financial impact. There are also a number of other risks associated with Year 2000 that are beyond the Company's ability to control. The Company's Year 2000 efforts have been meant to help manage and mitigate these risks. While the Company has not experienced any specific material Year 2000 related problems or system failures to date, it continues to monitor developments in this area and plans to adopt contingency plans in the event that a service outside of the Company's control experiences Year 2000 related processing problems or failures. The Company's costs for these efforts have not been material and the Company does not expect future costs to be material or to have a material effect on its operations or financial results. Nevertheless, there can be no assurance that all Year 2000 risks have been completely eliminated or that a Year 2000-related problem would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, the Company has assisted government clients in evaluating, testing and certifying their systems affected by Year 2000, and has also provided quality assurance of monitoring Year 2000 compliance conversions performed for clients by third parties. In providing these services, the Company has attempted to minimize its potential liability for Year 2000 related system failures that clients could experience. Although the Company is not aware of any Year 2000 problems associated with its clients' systems that have arisen to date, there can be no assurance that the Company would not become subject to legal action if a client sustains Year 2000 problems. If such a legal action is brought and resolved against the Company, it could result in a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition.

#### FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements that are not historical facts, including statements about the Company's confidence and strategies and the Company's expectations regarding its ability to obtain future contracts, expand its market opportunities or attract highly-skilled employees, are forward looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. These risks and uncertainties include legislative changes and political developments adverse to the privatization of the provision of government services; risks related to completed or future acquisitions; opposition from government employee unions; reliance on key executives; impact of competition from similar companies; and legal, economic and other risks detailed in Exhibit 99 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended December 31, 1999.

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company believes that its exposure to market risk related to the effect of changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices on instruments entered into for trading and other purposes is immaterial.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION.

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

13

In March 1997, the Company was named as a third-party defendant by Network Six, Inc. ("Network Six") in a legal action brought by the State of Hawaii against Network Six in the State of Hawaii Circuit Court of the First Circuit. Network Six alleged that the Company was liable to Network Six on various contract and tort based claims and sought damages in an undetermined amount. As reported in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1999, the Company paid Network Six \$50,000 in full settlement of all claims in October 1999. The settlement was made without admission of fault or liability on the part of the Company.

#### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

- (a) Exhibits. The Exhibits filed as part of this Form 10-Q are listed on the Exhibit Index immediately preceding such Exhibits, which Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.
- (b) Reports on Form 8-K. No Current Report on Form 8-K were filed by the Company during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 1999.

# SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MAXIMUS, INC.

Date: February 14, 2000 /s/ F. Arthur Nerret By: F. Arthur Nerret

Vice President, Finance, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and

Principal Accounting Officer)

15

# EXHIBIT INDEX

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION
27	Financial Data Schedules (EDGAR only)
99	Important Factors Regarding Forward Looking Statements. Filed herewith.

# <ARTICLE> 5 <MULTIPLIER> 1,000

<s></s>	<c></c>		<c></c>	
<period-type></period-type>	3-Mos		3-MOS	
<fiscal-year-end></fiscal-year-end>		SEP-30-2000		SEP-30-1999
<period-start></period-start>		OCT-01-1999		OCT-01-1998
<period-end></period-end>		DEC-31-1999		DEC-31-1998
<cash></cash>		59,348		18,946
<securities></securities>		33,855		68,484
<receivables></receivables>		80,847		86,189
<allowances></allowances>		0		0
<inventory></inventory>		0		0
<current-assets></current-assets>		200,372		181,512
<pp&e></pp&e>		21,443		10,836
<pre><depreciation></depreciation></pre>		(6 <b>,</b> 350)		(5,681)
<total-assets></total-assets>		234,526		190,603
<current-liabilities></current-liabilities>		42,500		36 <b>,</b> 598
<bonds></bonds>		0		0
<preferred-mandatory></preferred-mandatory>		0		0
<preferred></preferred>		0		0
<common></common>		131,094		127,877
<other-se></other-se>		52,868		25 <b>,</b> 508
<total-liability-and-equity></total-liability-and-equity>		234,526		153,385
<sales></sales>		89,683		72,346
<total-revenues></total-revenues>		89,683		72,346
<cgs></cgs>		62,085		52,233
<total-costs></total-costs>		62,085		52,233
<other-expenses></other-expenses>		15,700		11,261
<loss-provision></loss-provision>		0		0
<interest-expense></interest-expense>		0		0
<income-pretax></income-pretax>		12,948		9,246
<income-tax></income-tax>		5,288		3 <b>,</b> 653
<pre><income-continuing></income-continuing></pre>		7,660		5 <b>,</b> 593
<discontinued></discontinued>		0		0
<extraordinary></extraordinary>		0		0
<changes></changes>		0		0
<net-income></net-income>		7,660		5 <b>,</b> 593
<eps-basic></eps-basic>		.36		.29
<eps-diluted></eps-diluted>		.36		.28

</TABLE>

#### IMPORTANT FACTORS REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

IN THIS EXHIBIT 99, "WE," "US," "OUR" AND "MAXIMUS" REFER TO MAXIMUS, INC. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES.

From time to time, we may make forward-looking public statements, such as statements concerning our then expected future revenues or earnings or concerning projected plans, performance, contract procurement as well as other estimates relating to future operations. Forward-looking statements may be in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), in press releases or informal statements made with the approval of an authorized executive officer. The words or phrases "will likely result," "are expected to," "will continue," "is anticipated," "estimate," "project," or similar expressions are intended to identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 21E of the Exchange Act and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended as enacted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

We wish to caution you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements which speak only as of the date on which they are made. In addition, we wish to advise you that the factors listed below, as well as other factors we have not currently identified, could affect our financial or other performance and could cause our actual results for future periods to differ materially from any opinions or statements expressed with respect to future periods or events in any current statement.

We will not undertake and specifically decline any obligation to publicly release revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect either circumstances after the date of the statements or the occurrence of events which may cause us to re-evaluate our forward-looking statements.

In connection with the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, we are hereby filing cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those projected in forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf.

#### RELIANCE ON GOVERNMENT CLIENTS

Substantially all of our clients are state or local government authorities. To market our services to government clients, we are largely required to respond to government requests for proposals ("RFPs"). To do so effectively, we must estimate accurately our cost structure for servicing a proposed contract, the time required to establish operations and likely terms of the proposals submitted by competitors. We must also assemble and submit a large volume of information within a RFP's rigid timetable. Our ability to respond successfully to RFPs will greatly impact our business, and we cannot guarantee that we will be awarded contracts through the RFP process or that our proposals will result in profitable contracts.

# RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING

EARLY TERMINATION OF CONTRACTS. Many of our government contracts contain base periods of one or more years, as well as option periods covering more than half of the contract's potential duration. Government agencies generally have the right not to exercise these option periods. A decision not to exercise option periods could impact the profitability of some of our contracts. Our contracts typically also contain provisions permitting a government client to terminate the contract on short notice, with or without cause. The unexpected termination of one or more significant contracts could result in significant revenue shortfalls. The natural expiration of especially large contracts can also present management challenges. If revenue shortfalls occur and are not offset by corresponding reductions in expenses, our business could be adversely affected. We cannot be certain if, when or to what extent a client might terminate any or all of its contracts with us.

CONTRACTS SUBJECT TO AUDIT. The Defense Contract Audit Agency ("DCAA"), and certain other government agencies, have the authority to audit and investigate any government contracts. These agencies review a contractor's performance on its contract, its pricing practices, its cost structure and its compliance with applicable laws, regulations and standards. Any costs found to be improperly allocated to a specific contract will not be reimbursed, while costs already reimbursed must be refunded. Therefore, a DCAA audit could result in a substantial adjustment to our revenue. No material adjustments resulted from audits completed through 1993, and we believe that adjustments resulting from subsequent audits will not adversely affect our business. If a government audit uncovers improper or illegal activities, a contractor may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and

administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeitures of profits, suspension of payments, fines and suspension or disqualification from doing business with the government.

DISCOURAGEMENT OF REVENUE CONSULTING BY FEDERAL OFFICIALS. To avoid higher than anticipated demands for federal funds, federal government officials occasionally discourage state and local authorities from engaging private consultants to advise them on maximizing federal revenues. We cannot be certain that state and local officials will not be dissuaded from engaging us for revenue maximization services.

RELATIONSHIPS WITH POLITICAL CONSULTANTS. We occasionally engage marketing consultants, including lobbyists, to establish and maintain relationships with elected officials and appointed members of government agencies. The effectiveness of these consultants may be reduced or eliminated if a significant political change occurs. Implementation of term limits for certain elected officials, for instance, would require us to confront political change on a more regular basis. Because we cannot be certain that we will successfully manage our relationships with political consultants, our business may be adversely affected.

#### RISKS INVOLVED IN MANAGING GOVERNMENT PROJECTS

RISK OF FIXED-PRICE AND PERFORMANCE-BASED CONTRACTS. We derived approximately 37% of our fiscal 1999 revenues from fixed-price contracts and approximately 19% of our fiscal 1999 revenues from performance-based contracts. For fixed-price contracts, we receive our fee if we meet specified objectives or achieve certain units of work. Those objectives might include placing a certain number of welfare recipients into jobs, collecting target amounts of child support payments, or completing a particular number of managed care enrollments. For performance-based contracts, we receive our fee on a per-transaction basis. Such contracts include, for example, child support enforcement contracts, in which we often receive a fee based on the amount of child support collected. To earn a profit on these contracts, we rely upon accurately estimating costs involved and assessing the probability of meeting the specified objectives, realizing the expected units of work or completing individual transactions, within the contracted time period. We recognize revenues on these contracts on a "costs incurred" method. Therefore, we review these contracts quarterly and adjust revenues to reflect our current expectations. These adjustments affect the timing and amount of revenue recognized and could adversely affect our financial results. If we fail to estimate accurately the factors upon which we base our contract pricing, then we may have to report a decrease in revenues or incur losses on these contracts.

FAILURE TO MEET CONTRACT PERFORMANCE STANDARDS. Our inability to satisfy adequately our contractual obligations could adversely affect our financial condition. Our contracts often require us to indemnify clients for our failures to meet certain performance standards. Some contracts contain liquidated damages provisions and financial penalties related to performance failures. In addition, in order for our Government Operations Group to bid on certain contracts, we are required to secure our indemnification obligations by posting a cash performance bond or obtaining a letter of credit. If a claim is made against a performance bond or letter of credit, the issuer of the bond could demand higher premiums. Increased bond premiums would adversely affect our earnings and could limit our ability to bid for future contracts. In addition, a failure to meet our client's expectations when performing on a contract could materially and adversely affect our reputation, which, in turn, would impact our ability to compete for new contracts.

TERMINATION OF LARGE CONTRACTS. Upon termination or expiration of a contract between our Government Operations Group and a state or local government, we have to evaluate whether, and in what capacity, we can continue employing persons that formerly serviced the contract. Unless we enter into a new contract using those same employees or otherwise re-assign them, their employment must be terminated. The reassignment or termination of a large number of employees makes significant demands on our management and administrative resources. Added demands on our resources could adversely affect our business.

RELATIONSHIPS WITH GOVERNMENT ENTITIES. To facilitate our ability to prepare bids in response to RFPs, we rely in part on establishing and maintaining relationships with officials of various government entities and agencies. These relationships enable us to provide informal input and advice to the government entities and agencies prior to the development of an RFP. We cannot be certain that we will be successful in managing our relationships with government entities and agencies, and any failure to do so may adversely affect our business.

SIGNIFICANT START UP COSTS. When we are awarded a contract to manage a government program, our Government Operations Group can incur significant start-up expenses before we receive any contract payments. These expenses include leasing office space, purchasing office equipment and hiring personnel. As a result, in certain large contracts where the government does not fund program start-up costs, we are required to invest significant sums of money prior to receiving related contract payments.

#### LEGISLATIVE CHANGE AND POLITICAL DEVELOPMENTS

DEPENDENCE ON LEGISLATIVE PROGRAMS. The market for our services is dependent largely on federal and state legislative programs. These programs can be modified or amended at any time by acts of federal and state governments. For example, in 1996, Congress amended the Social Security Act to eliminate social security and supplemental income benefit payments based solely on drug and alcohol disabilities. That amendment resulted in the termination of our substantial contract with the federal Social Security Administration, which related to the referral and monitoring of the treatment of recipients of these benefits. Future legislative changes that we do not anticipate or respond to effectively could occur and adversely affect our business.

DEPENDENCE ON WELFARE REFORM ACT. We expect that the Welfare Reform Act and other federal and state initiatives will continue to encourage long-term changes in the nation's welfare system. Part of our growth strategy includes aggressively pursuing these opportunities by seeking new contracts to administer and new health and welfare programs to manage. However, there are many opponents of welfare reform. As a result, future progress in the area of welfare reform is uncertain. The repeal of the Welfare Reform Act, in whole or in part, could adversely affect our business. Also, we cannot be certain that additional reforms will be proposed or enacted, or that previously enacted reforms will not be challenged, repealed or invalidated.

RESTRICTIONS ON PRIVATIZATION. Under current law, in order to privatize certain functions of government programs, the federal government must grant a consent and/or waiver to the petitioning state or local agency. For example, in May 1997, the Department of Health and Human Services refused to grant a waiver to the State of Texas permitting private corporations, rather than public employees, to decide eligibility of applicants for Food Stamps and Medicaid benefits. Although MAXIMUS did not bid on the Texas projects, we may face similar obstacles in pursuing future health and human services contracts.

#### RISKS OF ACQUISITION STRATEGY; RISKS OF COMPLETED ACQUISITIONS

Our business strategy includes expanding our operations, breadth of service offerings and geographic scope by acquiring or combining with related businesses. To date, we have combined with nine consulting firms and are still in the process of integrating their operations. We cannot be certain that we will be able to continue to identify, acquire and manage additional businesses profitably or integrate them successfully without incurring substantial expenses, delays or other problems. Furthermore, business combinations may involve special risks, including:

- Diversion of management's attention
- Loss of key personnel
- Assumption of unanticipated legal liabilities
- Amortization of acquired intangible assets
- Dilution to our earnings per share  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left($

Also, client dissatisfaction or performance problems at an acquired firm could materially and adversely affect our reputation as a whole. Furthermore, we cannot be certain that acquired businesses will achieve anticipated revenues and earnings.

## CHALLENGES RESULTING FROM GROWTH

Sustaining growth has placed significant demands on management as well as on our administrative, operational and financial resources. To manage our growth, we must continue to improve our operational, financial and management information systems and expand, motivate and manage our workforce. However, our growth and management of large-scale health and human services programs must not come at the expense of providing quality service and generating reasonable profits. We cannot be certain that we will continue to experience growth or successfully manage it.

## OPPOSITION FROM GOVERNMENT UNIONS

- 3 -

Our success derives in part from our ability to win profitable contracts to administer and manage health and human services programs traditionally administered by government employees. Government employees, however, typically belong to labor unions with considerable financial resources and lobbying networks. Unions are likely to continue to apply political pressure on legislators and other officials seeking to outsource government programs. For example, union lobbying was instrumental in influencing the Department of Health

and Human Services to deny a petition to allow private corporations to make Food Stamp and Medicaid eligibility determinations in Texas. Union opposition may slow welfare reform and result in fewer opportunities for MAXIMUS to service government agencies.

#### RELIANCE ON KEY EXECUTIVES

The abilities of our executive officers, including David V. Mastran and Raymond B. Ruddy, and our senior managers to generate business and execute projects successfully is important to our success. While we have employment agreements with certain of our executive officers, these agreements are terminable under certain conditions. The loss of a key executive could impair our ability to secure and manage engagements. To limit some of this risk, we have obtained key-man life insurance policies on Dr. Mastran and Mr. Ruddy in the amounts of \$6,100,000 and \$3,950,000, respectively.

#### ATTRACTION AND RETENTION OF EMPLOYEES

Our delivery of services is labor-intensive. When we are awarded a government contract, we must quickly hire project leaders and case management personnel. The additional staff also creates a concurrent demand for increased administrative personnel. The success of our Government Operations Group and Consulting Group requires that we attract, develop, motivate and retain:

- -Experienced and innovative executive officers
- -Senior managers who have successfully managed or designed health and human services programs in the public sector
- -Information technology professionals who have designed or implemented complex information technology projects

Innovative, experienced and technically proficient individuals are in great demand and are likely to remain a limited resource. We cannot be certain that we can continue to attract and retain desirable executive officers and senior managers. A failure to hire sufficient personnel on a timely basis could adversely affect our business. The loss of significant numbers of executive officers and senior managers could produce similar adverse consequences.

#### COMPETITORS; EFFECTS OF COMPETITION

INTENSIFICATION OF COMPETITION. Competition to provide certain program management and consulting services to state and local government agencies has intensified. Our Government Operations Group competes for program management contracts with the following:

- -Local non-profit organizations such as the United Way and Goodwill Industries
- -Government services divisions of large organizations such as Andersen Consulting, Lockheed Martin Corporation and Electronic Data Systems, Inc.
- -Specialized service providers such as America Works, Inc., Policy Studies Incorporated, and Benova, Inc.

Our Consulting Group competes with:

- -The consulting divisions of the "Big 5" accounting firms
- -Electronic Data Systems, Inc.

Many of these companies are national and international in scope and have greater resources than we have. Substantial resources could enable certain competitors to initiate severe price cuts or take other measures in an effort to gain market share.

- 4 -

In addition, we may be unable to compete for a limited number of large contracts because we may not be able to meet an RFP's requirement to obtain and post large cash performance bonds. Also, in certain geographic areas, we face competition from smaller consulting firms with established reputations and political relationships. We cannot be certain that we will compete successfully against our existing or any new competitors.

COMPETITION FROM FORMER EMPLOYEES. In addition to competition from existing competitors, we may experience competition from former employees. Although we have entered into non-competition agreements with some of our senior level employees, we cannot be certain that a court would enforce these contracts. Competition by former employees could adversely affect our business.

The nature of our contracts with state and local government authorities frequently generates media attention. In particular, our management of health and human services programs and revenue maximization services have occasionally received negative media coverage. This negative coverage could influence government officials and slow the pace of welfare reform. The media also focuses its attention on the activities of political consultants engaged by us, even when their activities are unrelated to our business. We may be subject to adverse media attention relating to the activities of individuals who are not under our control. In addition, we cannot assure that the media will accurately cover our activities or that we will be able to anticipate and respond in a timely manner to all media contacts. Inaccurate or misleading media coverage or our failure to manage adverse coverage could adversely affect our reputation.

#### LITIGATION

DMG-MAXIMUS LITIGATION. On May 12, 1998, we acquired DMG, which was subsequently merged into DMG-MAXIMUS, a wholly-owned subsidiary of MAXIMUS. DMG-MAXIMUS is currently defending against a lawsuit arising out of consultation services provided to underwriters of revenue bonds issued by Superstition Mountains Community Facilities District No. 1 in 1994. The bonds were issued to finance construction of a water waste treatment plant in Arizona. However, the District was unable to service the bonds and eventually declared bankruptcy. The District voluntarily came out of bankruptcy and is currently operating under a forbearance agreement with the sole purchaser of the bonds, Allstate Insurance Company. A consolidated action arising out of these events is pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona against DMG-MAXIMUS and thirteen other named defendants. The parties making claims against DMG-MAXIMUS in the lawsuit, Allstate and the District, allege that DMG made false and misleading representations in the reports DMG prepared included among the exhibits to the bond offering memoranda. DMG's reports concerned certain financial projections made by the District regarding its ability to service the bonds. Allstate seeks as damages \$32.1 million, the principal amount of bonds it purchased together with accrued and unpaid interest; the District seeks actual and special damages, prejudgment interest and costs. DMG-MAXIMUS believes these claims are without merit and intends to defend against this action vigorously. We do not believe these claims will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. However, we cannot assure that we will be successful in defending this lawsuit.

SUIT BY FORMER OFFICER. We are currently defending a lawsuit brought by a former officer, director and shareholder alleging that, at the time he resigned from MAXIMUS in 1996 and became obligated to sell his MAXIMUS shares back to the Company, we failed to disclose to him material information regarding the potential value of those shares. The former officer seeks damages in excess of \$10 million. We do not believe that this claim has merit and intend to oppose it vigorously. We do not believe this action will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. However, we cannot assure that we will be successful in our defense.

#### VARIABILITY OF QUARTERLY OPERATING RESULTS

A number of factors cause our revenues and operating results to vary from quarter to quarter. These factors include:

- -The progress of contracts
- -The levels of revenues earned on contracts (including any adjustments in expectations on revenue recognition on fixed-price contracts)

- 5 -

- -The commencement, completion or termination of contracts during any particular guarter
- -The schedules of government agencies for awarding contracts
- -The term of awarded contracts
- -The reactions of the market to announcements of potential acquisitions
- -General economic conditions

Changes in the volume of activity and the number of contracts commenced or completed during any quarter may cause significant variations in our operating results because a relatively large amount of our expenses are fixed. Furthermore, on occasion we incur greater operating expenses during the start-up and early stages of significant contracts.

# CONCENTRATION OF OWNERSHIP BY PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

Our executive officers own beneficially approximately 40% of our common stock. Certain executive officers, who beneficially own approximately 34% of the outstanding shares, have agreed to hold their shares until June 2001, subject to

certain exceptions. In addition, Mr. Ruddy has agreed to vote his shares of common stock in a manner instructed by Dr. Mastran until September 30, 2001. Together, Dr. Mastran and Mr. Ruddy beneficially own approximately 32% of our common stock. As a result, these officers can exercise significant influence over the outcome of matters requiring a shareholder vote, including the election of the board of directors. This significant influence could delay or prevent a change in control of the company, which could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

#### POSSIBLE VOLATILITY OF STOCK PRICE

MAXIMUS first publicly issued common stock on June 13, 1997 at \$16.00 per share in its initial public offering (the "IPO"). Between June 13, 1997 and December 31, 1999, the closing sale price has ranged from a high of \$41.50 per share to a low of \$17.00 per share. Even though the market price of our stock has not been highly volatile during this time, the market price of our common stock could begin to fluctuate substantially due to a variety of factors, including:

- -Quarterly fluctuations in results of operations
- -The failure to be awarded a significant contract on which we have bid
- -The termination by a government client of a material contract
- -The announcement of new services by competitors
- -Acquisitions and mergers
- -Political and legislative developments adverse to the privatization of government services  $% \left( 1\right) =\left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\}$
- -Changes in earnings estimates by securities analysts
- -Changes in accounting principles
- -Sales of common stock by existing shareholders
- -Negative publicity
- -Loss of key personnel

Our ability to meet securities analysts' quarterly expectations may also influence the market price of our common stock. In addition, overall volatility has often significantly affected the market prices of securities for reasons unrelated to a company's

- 6 -

operating performance. In the past, securities class action litigation has often been commenced against companies that have experienced periods of volatility in the price of their stock. Securities litigation initiated against us could cause us to incur substantial costs and could lead to the diversion of management's attention and resources.

# CERTAIN ANTI-TAKEOVER EFFECTS

Virginia law and our Articles of Incorporation and By-Laws include provisions that may be deemed to have anti-takeover effects. These provisions may delay, deter or prevent a takeover attempt that shareholders might consider desirable. Our directors are divided into three classes and are elected to serve staggered three-year terms. This structure could impede or discourage an attempt to obtain control of the company. Shareholders of MAXIMUS do not possess the power to take any action in writing without a meeting. In addition, Virginia law imposes certain limitations and special voting requirements on affiliated transactions. Furthermore, Virginia law denies voting rights to shares acquired in control share acquisitions, unless granted by a shareholder vote.

#### RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH YEAR 2000 COMPLIANCE

We have audited our internal software, hardware, and telephone systems and those of our divisions and acquired companies for Year 2000 compliance and have completed all remediation actions deemed necessary. In particular, the MAXSTAR case management software and related applications used in all our major projects have been upgraded to be Year 2000 compliant. In addition, we have inquired of vendors, governmental agencies and entities with which we contract about Year 2000 related problems and system failures that these parties have experienced and based upon their responses, our management believes that we will be able to continue to perform on our contracts with these parties without experiencing material negative financial impact. There are also a number of other risks associated with Year 2000 that are beyond our ability to control. Our Year 2000 efforts have been meant to help manage and mitigate these risks. While we have not experienced any specific material Year 2000 related problems or system failures to date, we continue to monitor developments in this area and plan to

adopt contingency plans in the event that a service outside of our control experiences Year 2000 related processing problems or failures. Our costs for these efforts have not been material and we do not expect future costs to be material or to materially affect our financial results. Nevertheless, we cannot be certain that all Year 2000 risks have been completely eliminated, and a Year 2000-related problem could have a material adverse impact on our business.

In addition, we have assisted government clients in evaluating, testing and certifying their systems affected by Year 2000. We have also provided quality assurance of monitoring Year 2000 compliance conversions performed by third parties for our clients. In providing these services, we have attempted to minimize our potential liability for Year 2000 related system failures that clients could experience. Although we are not aware of any Year 2000 problems associated with clients' systems that have arisen to date, we cannot assure that we will not become subject to legal action if a client sustains Year 2000 problems. If such legal action is brought and resolved against us, we could suffer adverse effects on our business and financial results.

#### UNCERTAINTIES RELATED TO INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS

Most of our international operations are currently paid for by the World Bank and the U.S. Agency for International Development in U.S. dollars. However, as we expand our operations into developing countries we could encounter a number of additional risks. The potential risks to our expected international revenues include:

- -Adverse currency exchange rate fluctuations
- -Inability to collect receivables
- -Difficulty in enforcing contract terms through a foreign country's legal system

Foreign countries could also impose tariffs, impose additional withholding taxes or otherwise tax our foreign income.